

PERMUTATIONS, PATTERN AVOIDANCE, AND THE CATALAN TRIANGLE

DEREK DESANTIS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY CHANNEL ISLANDS
REBECCA FIELD, JAMES MADISON UNIVERSITY
WESLEY HOUGH, HANOVER COLLEGE
BRANT JONES, JAMES MADISON UNIVERSITY
REBECCA MEISSEN, WORCESTER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE
JACOB ZIEFLE, THE COLLEGE OF NEW JERSEY

ABSTRACT. In the study of various objects indexed by permutations, a natural notion of minimal excluded structure, now known as a permutation pattern, has emerged and found diverse applications. One of the earliest results from the study of permutation pattern avoidance in enumerative combinatorics is that the Catalan numbers c_n count the permutations of size n that avoid any fixed pattern of size three. We refine this result by enumerating the permutations that avoid a given pattern of size three, and have a given letter in the first position of their one-line notation. Since there are two parameters, we obtain triangles of numbers rather than sequences. Our main result is that there are two essentially different triangles for any of the patterns of size three, and each of these triangles generalizes the Catalan sequence in a natural way. All of our proofs are bijective, and relate the permutations being counted to recursive formulas for the triangles.

MSC2000 05 Combinatorics

Keywords Pattern avoidance, Integer sequences, Catalan triangle

1. INTRODUCTION

A **permutation** is a bijection from a finite set to itself. The symmetric group on n letters, denoted S_n , is the group of all permutations of an n -element set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where composition is the group operation. In this paper, we will denote a particular permutation w by its **one-line notation**,

$$w = [w_1 w_2 \dots w_n]$$

where w_i is the image of $1 \leq i \leq n$ under the bijection w .

Given $w \in S_n$ and $p \in S_k$ with $k < n$, we say w **contains the pattern** p if there exists $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$ such that the subsequence $w_{i_1}, w_{i_2}, \dots, w_{i_k}$ of the one-line notation of w is in the same relative order as p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k , in the sense that $w_{i_a} < w_{i_b}$ if and only if $p_a < p_b$ for all $1 \leq a, b \leq k$. If w does not contain p , then we say w **avoids the pattern** p ; equivalently, there exist a and b with $w_{i_a} < w_{i_b}$ and $p_a > p_b$. For example, it is straightforward to check that [25143] avoids [123] as there is no triple of values that are all increasing from left to right.

Let $S_n(p)$ denote the set of all permutations in S_n that avoid a given pattern p . Then we have an integer sequence $s_n(p) := |S_n(p)|$ that counts the number of p -avoiding permutations of size n . When $s_n(p) = s_n(q)$ for all n , then we say that the permutations p and q are **Wilf equivalent**. For example, we have that

$$\{s_n([12])\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$$

as there is a unique permutation of each size with no pair of entries increasing from left to right. Moreover, it is not hard to see that [12] and [21] are Wilf equivalent.

If we represent our permutations as matrices by placing a 1 in position (i, w_i) and 0 elsewhere, then pattern containment corresponds to containment of a sub permutation matrix. The dihedral group action on these square matrices gives rise to three **symmetry operations** that preserve Wilf equivalence: reverse, complement and inverse. Given a permutation w , we define the **reverse** of w to be $w^r = [w_n w_{n-1} \cdots w_1]$, the **complement** of w to be $w^c = [(n+1-w_1) (n+1-w_2) \cdots (n+1-w_n)]$, and we let w^{-1} denote the compositional inverse of w . Then, we have

$$s_n(p) = s_n(p^c) = s_n(p^r) = s_n(p^{-1})$$

since w avoids p if and only if w^c avoids p^c , and so on. For example, $[1324]^c = [4231]$, so the integer sequences $s_n([1324])$ and $s_n([4231])$ are equal.

These definitions are elementary, but have been used to describe or explain phenomena from far flung topics including: stack sorting algorithms from computer science [Knu73, Tar72], geometry of algebraic groups [BL00, WY08], intersection cohomology [BW01], Mahonian statistics [BS00], statistical mechanics [TL71, Wes95b], and various generating functions in enumerative combinatorics [Bón04].

Simion and Schmidt [SS85] were among the first to consider the relationships among various permutation patterns, and they gave a bijective proof that S_3 is a single Wilf equivalence class by establishing an explicit bijection between $S_n([132])$ and $S_n([123])$. The result immediately follows because every other size three permutation is related to one of these two by a symmetry operation. The corresponding $s_n(p)$ is the Catalan sequence $c_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$. This sequence can also be defined recursively as

$$(1) \quad c_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n-k} c_k \quad \text{for } n \geq 0 \quad \text{where } c_0 = 1.$$

Because this recursion conveys a very natural phenomenon that objects of size n are built from pairs of objects with complementary sizes, the Catalan numbers arise frequently in combinatorics; Stanley [Sta99] gives over 100 objects that are counted by the Catalan numbers.

In this work, we refine the Simion–Schmidt classification by considering permutations that avoid a given pattern of size three, and have a given letter in the first position of their one-line notation. That is, we let $S_n^{(i)} = \{w \in S_n : w_1 = i\}$ and define $S_n^{(i)}(p) = S_n(p) \cap S_n^{(i)}$. For example, $S_4^{(2)}([123]) = \{[2143], [2413], [2431]\}$. Since there are two parameters n and i , we now have a “triangle” of numbers $s_{n,i}(p) := |S_n^{(i)}(p)|$ for each pattern p . Our main result is that for p of size three, there are only two essentially different triangles and each of these generalizes the Catalan sequence in a natural way. All of our proofs are bijective, and relate the permutations being counted to recursive formulas for the triangles.

This first-letter refinement of S_n is a natural construction that facilitates recursive arguments: each $S_n^{(i)} \cong S_{n-1}$ by dropping the first entry and then applying the bijection from $\{1, 2, \dots, \hat{i}, \dots, n\}$ to $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, where the hat indicates omission. This results in the decomposition $S_n = \coprod_{i=1}^n S_n^{(i)}$. This decomposition has been used extensively for permutation pattern enumeration, in the form of generating trees introduced by West [Wes95a]. Our work began from an attempt to understand how structures such as Bruhat order behave under this decomposition when restricted to a pattern-avoiding subset. We expect that the tools developed in enumerating these first-letter pattern classes will be helpful in such investigations. It would also be interesting to determine the number of first-letter Wilf equivalence classes in S_n for $n \geq 4$, and to see if there is a way to determine these from knowledge of the classical Wilf equivalence classes in a particular S_n . Moreover, there are notions of pattern avoidance in other Coxeter types [BP05], and it should be possible to generalize our results to this setting using parabolic subgroups.

In Section 2, we introduce some preliminary results and reduce our first-letter Wilf classification problem to determining $s_{n,i}([213])$, $s_{n,i}([123])$ and $s_{n,i}([132])$. These are proved in Sections 3, 4, and 5, respectively.

2. CATALAN TRIANGLES AND COMPLEMENTS

We begin with a classical result; see [SS85] for a bijective proof.

Theorem 2.1. (Knuth, Simion–Schmidt) *Let c_n denote the Catalan sequence, and $s_n(p)$ denote the number of p -avoiding permutations in S_n . For any $p \in S_3$, we have $s_n(p) = c_n$ for all n .*

There are two different number triangles of general interest that relate to the Catalan numbers. We will distinguish the two by their shapes. We call the first the *right Catalan triangle*. This is A009766 in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [Slo].

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & & 1 \\
 & & & & & & 1 & 1 \\
 & & & & & & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
 & & & & & & 1 & 3 & 5 & 5 \\
 & & & & & & 1 & 4 & 9 & 14 & 14 \\
 & & & & & & 1 & 5 & 14 & 28 & 42 & 42 \\
 & & & & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
 \end{array}$$

We denote each entry in the triangle as $c_{n,k}$, where n is the row and k is the column of the entry. Notice that the n -th row of the triangle has n entries. To generate the triangle, we start with $c_{0,0} = c_{1,1} = 1$. The triangle's entries are generated recursively by summing the entries directly above and to the left. If either of these two positions are vacant, we add zero for the corresponding position(s). Extending this recursion generates

$$c_{n,k} = \sum_{\ell=1}^k c_{n-1,\ell}.$$

Note that $c_{n,n} = c_{n-1}$, and the entries in row n sum to the n th Catalan number c_n .

We call the other triangle of interest the *isosceles Catalan triangle*. This is A078391 in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [Slo].

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & & 1 \\
 & & & & & & 1 & 1 \\
 & & & & & & 2 & 1 & 2 \\
 & & & & & & 5 & 2 & 2 & 5 \\
 & & & & & & 14 & 5 & 4 & 5 & 14 \\
 & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
 \end{array}$$

We denote the elements of this triangle as $t_{n,k}$. Here, n denotes the row while k indicates the position in the row. For example, $t_{5,2} = 5$. As with the right Catalan triangle, the n -th row has n entries. Starting with $c_0 = c_1 = 1$, we construct the triangle by setting $t_{n,k} = c_{n-k-1}c_k$. Note that each $t_{n,k}$ is one of the summands from the formula (1) for the n -th Catalan number. Therefore, the n th row will sum to the n th Catalan number.

In the classification of Wilf equivalence classes for $S_n(p)$, the dihedral symmetries were a useful tool. However, it turns out that only the complement symmetry extends to $s_{n,i}(p)$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $p \in S_3$. Then, the complement function is a bijection between $S_n^{(k)}(p)$ and $S_n^{(n-k+1)}(p^c)$.

Proof. Since $(w^c)^c = w$, the complement is invertible. Let w be an arbitrary permutation in $S_n^{(k)}(p)$. Then, w avoids p if and only if w^c avoids p^c . Note that $w_1 = k$ by definition of $S_n^{(k)}(p)$. Since $w_1^c = n - w_1 + 1 = n - k + 1$, we have $w^c \in S_n^{(n-k+1)}(p^c)$. \square

Our strategy will be to enumerate $s_{n,k}([213])$, $s_{n,k}([123])$ and $s_{n,k}([132])$. The remaining patterns $p \in \{[231], [321], [312]\}$ are then enumerated as

$$s_{n,k}([231]) = s_{n,n-k+1}([213]), \quad s_{n,k}([321]) = s_{n,n-k+1}([123]), \quad s_{n,k}([312]) = s_{n,n-k+1}([132]).$$

Throughout our proofs, we will use the following auxiliary sets.

Definition 2.3. Let $w = [w_1 w_2 \dots w_n]$ be an arbitrary permutation in $S_n^{(i)}(p)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w_{<i} &= \{w_j \mid w_j < i\}, & w_{>i} &= \{w_j \mid w_j > i\}, \\ w_{\leq i} &= \{w_j \mid w_j \leq i\}, & w_{\geq i} &= \{w_j \mid w_j \geq i\}. \end{aligned}$$

3. THE PATTERN [213]

This pattern has the relation of the isosceles Catalan triangle.

Theorem 3.1. For all n , we have

$$\left| S_n^{(i)}([213]) \right| = c_{i-1} c_{n-i} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Proof. Let $w = [w_1 w_2 \dots w_n] \in S_n^{(i)}([213])$. Since $w_1 = i$, every element of $w_{<i}$ must appear after every element of $w_{>i}$, for otherwise w does not avoid [213]. Therefore we can relabel w as

$$(2) \quad [i w_{b_1} w_{b_2} \dots w_{b_{n-i}} w_{a_1} w_{a_2} \dots w_{a_{i-1}}]$$

where $w_{a_j} \in w_{<i}$ and $w_{b_j} \in w_{>i}$ and the sequences (w_{a_j}) and (w_{b_j}) each avoid [213].

In fact every permutation of the form (2) whose subsequences each avoid [213] lies in $S_n^{(i)}([213])$. To see this, note that since each $w_{a_i} < w_{b_j}$, there cannot exist a [213] instance between the subsequences $(w_1) = [i]$, $(w_a) = [w_{a_1} w_{a_2} \dots w_{a_{i-1}}]$, and $(w_b) = [w_{b_1} w_{b_2} \dots w_{b_{n-i}}]$. For example, subsequences of the form $[w_{b_j}, w_{b_k}, w_{a_l}]$ have $w_{a_l} < w_b$ while subsequences of the form $[w_{b_j}, w_{a_k}, w_{a_l}]$ have $w_{b_j} > w_a$ so neither are [213] instances.

There are $(i-1)$ elements in the (w_a) subsequence, and $(n-i)$ elements in the (w_b) subsequence. By Theorem 2.1, there are c_{i-1} [213]-avoiding subsequences that can be assigned to (w_a) and c_{n-i} [213]-avoiding sequences that can be assigned to (w_b) , so $\left| S_n^{(i)}([213]) \right| = c_{i-1} c_{n-i}$. \square

4. THE PATTERN [123]

We now proceed to classify $S_n^{(i)}([123])$. The enumeration of these sets is more complicated than of the case $p = [213]$. To aid us in this endeavor, we will define a class of functions which ‘extend’ a permutation in S_{n-1} beginning with k to a permutation in S_n beginning with i .

Definition 4.1. Fix n , i and $1 \leq k \leq i$. Define $f : S_{n-1}^{(k)} \rightarrow S_n^{(i)}$ by

$$f(w) = \begin{cases} g(w) := [w_1 & n & w_2 & \dots & w_{n-1}] & \text{if } k = i, \\ h(w) := [i & w_1 + \delta_1 & w_2 + \delta_2 & \dots & w_{n-1} + \delta_{n-1}] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\delta_j = 1$ if $w_j \geq i$, and 0 otherwise.

Example 4.2. Let $w = [2431] \in S_4^{(2)}$. We can embed w into $S_5^{(2)}$ as

$$f(w) = g(w) = [w_1 \ n \ w_2 \ w_3 \ \dots \ w_{n-1}] = [25431].$$

We can embed w into $S_5^{(4)}$ as

$$f(w) = h(w) = [i \ w_1 + \delta_1 \ w_2 + \delta_2 \ \dots \ w_{n-1} + \delta_{n-1}] = [4 \ (2+0) \ (4+1) \ (3+0) \ (1+0)] = [42531].$$

Lemma 4.3. Let $w \in S_{n-1}^{(k)}$. For all $1 \leq s, t \leq n-1$ we have $w_s < w_t$ if and only if $w_s + \delta_s < w_t + \delta_t$.

Proof. Let w_s and w_t be entries in w . Without loss of generality, assume $w_s < w_t$. Then since w_s and w_t are distinct we have

$$w_s \leq w_s + \delta_s \leq w_t \leq w_t + \delta_t.$$

If $w_s + \delta_s = w_t + \delta_t$ then we must have $\delta_s = 1$ and $\delta_t = 0$, but this implies that $i \leq w_s < w_t < i$, a contradiction. \square

We now consider pattern avoidance under $f(w)$.

Lemma 4.4. If w avoids [123], then $f(w)$ avoids [123].

Proof. Let $w = [w_1 \ w_2 \ \dots \ w_{n-1}] \in S_{n-1}^{(k)}([123])$. We have that w avoids [123] if and only if for every 3-letter subsequence $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < j_3 \leq n$, we have $w_{j_1} > w_{j_2}$ or $w_{j_2} > w_{j_3}$. To prove that $f(w)$ avoids [123], we must show $g(w)$ and $h(w)$ both avoid [123].

Claim 1. $g(w)$ avoids [123].

Let $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < j_3 \leq n$ be indices for a 3-letter subsequence in $u = g(w)$.

Case 1.1. $u_{j_k} = n$ for some $j_k = 1, 2$ or 3 .

We have either $j_1 = 1$ and $j_2 = 2$, meaning $[u_{j_1} \ u_{j_2} \ u_{j_3}] = [k \ n \ w_{j_3-1}]$, or $j_1 = 2$, meaning $[u_{j_1} \ u_{j_2} \ u_{j_3}] = [n \ w_{j_2-1} \ w_{j_3-1}]$. Neither are [123] instances since $w \in S_{n-1}$ so $n > w_{j-1}$ for all $2 \leq j \leq n$.

Case 1.2. $u_{j_k} \neq n$ for $j = 1, 2$ or 3 .

Note that $u_j = w_{j-1}$ for $j \geq 3$ and $u_1 = w_1$, so $[u_{j_1} \ u_{j_2} \ u_{j_3}] = [w_{l_1} \ w_{l_2} \ w_{l_3}]$ for some $1 \leq l_1 < l_2 < l_3 \leq n-1$. Since w avoids [123] by assumption, this is not a [123]-instance.

Claim 2. $h(w)$ avoids [123].

Let $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < j_3 \leq n$ be indices for a 3-letter subsequence in $u = h(w)$. By Lemma 4.3, any subsequence in $[w_1 + \delta_1 \ \dots \ w_{n-1} + \delta_{n-1}]$ will have the same relative ordering as in w . Since w avoids [123], there cannot be any [123] instances in $[w_1 + \delta_1 \ \dots \ w_{n-1} + \delta_{n-1}]$. Thus, we need only concern ourselves with three-letter subsequences that begin with i . So let $u_{j_1} = u_1 = i$.

Case 2.1. $u_{j_2} \in w_{<i}$ or $u_{j_3} \in w_{<i}$.

Since $u_1 = u_{j_1} = i$, if either $u_{j_2} \in w_{<i}$ or $u_{j_3} \in w_{<i}$, then $i = u_{j_1} > u_{j_2}$ or $i = u_{j_1} > u_{j_3}$. Hence, $[u_{j_1} \ u_{j_2} \ u_{j_3}]$ is not a [123]-instance.

Case 2.2. $u_{j_2} \in w_{\geq i}$ and $u_{j_3} \in w_{\geq i}$.

Recall that by the definition of $f(w)$ we have $w_1 = k < i$ so $u_2 = w_1 + \delta_1 = w_1$. Hence, $j_2 \geq 3$. By assumption, we have $[u_{j_1} \ u_{j_2} \ u_{j_3}] = [i \ w_{j_2} + 1 \ w_{j_3} + 1]$. Since w avoids [123] and $w_1 = k < i \leq w_{j_1}$, it must be that $w_{j_2} > w_{j_3}$ and therefore $u_{j_2} = w_{j_2} + 1 > w_{j_3} + 1 = u_{j_3}$. Hence, the sequence $[u_{j_1} \ u_{j_2} \ u_{j_3}]$ is not a [123] instance. \square

We now observe that the function f is a bijection.

Lemma 4.5. *For all n and for all $i < n$, we have that f is a bijection of $\bigcup_{k=1}^i S_{n-1}^{(k)}([123])$ onto $S_n^{(i)}([123])$.*

Proof. Let $u \in S_n^{(i)}([123])$, and define $f^{-1}(u)$ by

$$f^{-1}(u) = \begin{cases} g^{-1}(u) := [& u_1 & & u_3 & & u_4 & & \dots & & u_n &] & \text{if } u_2 = n, \\ h^{-1}(u) := [& u_2 - \epsilon_2 & & u_3 - \epsilon_3 & & u_4 - \epsilon_4 & & \dots & & u_n - \epsilon_n &] & \text{if } u_2 < n, \end{cases}$$

where $\epsilon_j = 1$ if $u_j > i$, and 0 otherwise.

Observe that $f(w) = g(w) = u$ only if $u_2 = n$; otherwise, $f(w) = h(w)$. Also note that $f^{-1}(u) \in S_{n-1}^{(k)}([123])$ with $k \leq i = u_1$ and $u_2 - \epsilon_2 < i$, for otherwise $u_2 - \epsilon_2 \geq i$ and so $i < u_2 < n$ forms a [123] instance in u , which is a contradiction.

Hence, it suffices to show that for all $w \in \bigcup_{k=1}^i S_{n-1}^{(k)}([123])$ and all $u \in S_n^{(i)}([123])$, we have $g^{-1}(g(w)) = w$, $g(g^{-1}(u)) = u$, $h^{-1}(h(w)) = w$, and $h(h^{-1}(u)) = u$. These properties follow directly from the definitions as $\delta_j = \epsilon_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. □

Now, we can calculate the number of elements in each $S_n^{(i)}([123])$ by way of recursion. In fact, the recursion developed in Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 is the same recursion as the right Catalan Triangle recursion.

Theorem 4.6. $|S_n^{(i)}([123])| = c_{n,i}$, the entry in the right Catalan triangle in row n , column k for $n \geq k \geq 1$.

Proof. Notice that $S_1^{(1)} = \{[1]\}$. Since the permutation [1] consists of only one letter, it clearly avoids [123]. Thus, $|S_1^{(1)}([123])| = 1$. Notice that $c_{1,1} = 1$ as well. By Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5,

$$|S_n^{(i)}([123])| = \left| \bigcup_{k=1}^i S_{n-1}^{(k)}([123]) \right| = \sum_{k=1}^i |S_{n-1}^{(k)}([123])| = \sum_{k=1}^i c_{n-1,k} = c_{n,i}$$

□

5. THE PATTERN [132]

Next, we consider $S_n^{(i)}([132])$. To enumerate these sets, we will require the following function similar to Definition 4.1.

Definition 5.1. Let $w \in S_{n-1}^{(k)}$. Define $H : S_{n-1}^{(k)} \rightarrow S_n^{(i)}$ with $1 \leq k \leq i$ by

$$H(w) = [i \ w_1 + \delta_1 \ w_2 + \delta_2 \ \dots \ w_{n-1} + \delta_{n-1}]$$

where $\delta_j = 1$ if $w_j \geq i$, and 0 otherwise. Note that this is the same function h from Definition 4.1; however, we have extended its domain to include permutations where $k = i$.

Lemma 5.2. *If w avoids [132], then $H(w)$ avoids [132].*

Proof. We have that w avoids [132] if and only if for every subsequence $j_1 < j_2 < j_3$, we have $w_{j_1} > w_{j_2}$, $w_{j_1} > w_{j_3}$, or $w_{j_3} > w_{j_2}$. Let $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < j_3 \leq n$ be indices for a 3-letter subsequence in $u = H(w)$. By Lemma 4.3, any subsequence in $[w_1 + \delta_1 \ \dots \ w_{n-1} + \delta_{n-1}]$ will have the same relative ordering as in w . Since w avoids [132], there cannot be any [132] instances in $[w_1 + \delta_1 \ \dots \ w_{n-1} + \delta_{n-1}]$. Thus, we need only concern ourselves with three-letter subsequences that begin with i . So let $u_{j_1} = u_1 = i$.

Case 2.1. $u_{j_2} \in w_{<i}$ or $u_{j_3} \in w_{<i}$.

Since $u_{j_1} = i$, if either u_{j_2} or u_{j_3} is less than i , then $[u_{j_1} u_{j_2} u_{j_3}]$ will avoid [132].

Case 2.2. $u_{j_2} \in w_{>i}$ and $u_{j_3} \in w_{>i}$.

By definition, we have $u_2 = w_1 + \delta_1$ with $w_1 \leq i$. If $w_1 < i$, then $u_2 < i$. On the other hand if $w_1 = i$, then $u_2 = i + 1$. In either case, we have $u_2 < u_{j_2}$ and $u_2 < u_{j_3}$ since $u_{j_2}, u_{j_3} \in w_{>i}$ and all of the u_j are distinct. Consequently if w avoids [132], then $u_{j_2} < u_{j_3}$. Therefore, $[u_{j_1} u_{j_2} u_{j_3}]$ is not a [132]-instance. □

Lemma 5.3. *For all n and for all $i < n$, we have that H is a bijection of $\bigcup_{k=1}^i S_{n-1}^{(k)}([132])$ onto $S_n^{(i)}([132])$.*

Proof. The inverse of H is given by

$$H^{-1}(u) = [u_2 - \epsilon_2 \quad u_3 - \epsilon_3 \quad u_4 - \epsilon_4 \quad \dots \quad u_n - \epsilon_n],$$

where $\epsilon_j = 1$ if $w_j > i$ and 0 otherwise, just as in the proof of Lemma 4.5.

We also note that $H^{-1}(u) \in S_{n-1}^{(k)}([132])$ with $k \leq i = u_1$, for otherwise $u_2 - \epsilon_2 > i$, so $u_2 > i + 1$, and $i < u_2 < i + 1$ forms a [132] instance in u , which is a contradiction. □

Theorem 5.4. $|S_n^{(i)}([132])| = c_{n,i}$, the entry in the right Catalan triangle in row n , column k such that $n \geq k \geq 1$.

Proof. Again, notice that $S_1^{(1)} = \{[1]\}$. Since the permutation [1] consists of only one letter, it clearly avoids [132]. Thus, $|S_1^{(1)}([132])| = 1$. Recall that $c_{1,1} = 1$ as well. By Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3,

$$|S_n^{(i)}([132])| = \left| \bigcup_{k=1}^i S_{n-1}^{(k)}([132]) \right| = \sum_{k=1}^i |S_{n-1}^{(k)}([132])| = \sum_{k=1}^i c_{n-1,k} = c_{n,i}.$$

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was carried out as part of a summer REU program at James Madison University mentored by the second and fourth authors. We thank JMU, Len VanWyk, and the National Science Foundation [grant #1004516] for their support. We would also like to thank Bill Rau, a fellow summer student, for suggesting the $S_n^{(i)}$ as objects of study.

REFERENCES

- [BL00] Sara Billey and V. Lakshmibai. *Singular loci of Schubert varieties*, volume 182 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2000.
- [Bón04] Miklós Bóna. *Combinatorics of permutations*. Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton). Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004. With a foreword by Richard Stanley.
- [BP05] Sara Billey and Alexander Postnikov. Smoothness of Schubert varieties via patterns in root subsystems. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 34(3):447–466, 2005.
- [BS00] Eric Babson and Einar Steingrímsson. Generalized permutation patterns and a classification of the Mahonian statistics. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, 44:Art. B44b, 18 pp. (electronic), 2000.
- [BW01] Sara C. Billey and Gregory S. Warrington. Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials for 321-hexagon-avoiding permutations. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 13(2):111–136, 2001.
- [Knu73] Donald E. Knuth. *The art of computer programming. Volume 3*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1973. Sorting and searching, Addison-Wesley Series in Computer Science and Information Processing.
- [Slo] Neil J. A. Sloane. <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>.
- [SS85] Rodica Simion and Frank W. Schmidt. Restricted permutations. *European J. Combin.*, 6(4):383–406, 1985.
- [Sta99] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, volume 62 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin.
- [Tar72] Robert Tarjan. Sorting using networks of queues and stacks. *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.*, 19:341–346, 1972.
- [TL71] H. N. V. Temperley and E. H. Lieb. Relations between the “percolation” and “colouring” problem and other graph-theoretical problems associated with regular planar lattices: some exact results for the “percolation” problem. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 322(1549):251–280, 1971.
- [Wes95a] Julian West. Generating trees and the Catalan and Schröder numbers. *Discrete Math.*, 146(1-3):247–262, 1995.
- [Wes95b] B. W. Westbury. The representation theory of the Temperley–Lieb algebras. *Math. Z.*, 219(4):539–565, 1995.
- [WY08] Alexander Woo and Alexander Yong. Governing singularities of Schubert varieties. *J. Algebra*, 320(2):495–520, 2008.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MSC 1911, JAMES MADISON UNIVERSITY, HARRISONBURG, VA 22807

E-mail address: `fieldre@math.jmu.edu`