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## Set-up
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X=X_{0} \cup_{N}(N \times[0, \infty))
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- $E=$ a $G$-bundle over $X$
- A connection $A$ is ASD if $F_{A}^{+}=0$.
- General goal: study
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- $X=$ a connected, oriented 4-manifold with cylindrical ends:
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- $E=$ a $G$-bundle over $X$

Let's take $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$.

- A connection $A$ is ASD if $F_{A}^{+}=0$.
- General goal: study
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$A$ is $\mathbf{m A S D}$ if $F_{A}^{+}-\beta F_{j(A)}^{+}=0$

$$
\hat{M}:=\{\text { mASD connections }\} \cap\{\text { Coulomb slice }\}
$$

This depends on various auxiliary choices:

- a flat connection 「 on $N$;
- a connection $A^{\prime}$ on $X$ defining the Coulomb slice;
- a bunch of other things (e.g., cut-off functions) collectively called "thickening data" and denoted $\mathcal{T}$.

When these choices are relevant, write

$$
\hat{M}\left(\Gamma, A^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}\right), \quad \hat{M}\left(\Gamma, A^{\prime}\right), \quad \text { etc. }
$$
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Updated general goal: Try to do for mASD connections ( $\hat{M}$ ) what has been done for ASD connections $(M)$.

Some important differences between the ASD and mASD settings:

- $\hat{M}$ is a local object;
- the operator $A \mapsto F_{A}^{+}-\beta F_{j(A)}^{+}$is not gauge equivariant.

What is the same? Gluing! (mostly)
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## Corollary

Assume the 3-manifold $N$ is a circle bundle over a surface, with positive euler class. Then the mASD connection from the above theorem is ASD.

Example: Suppose $X_{0}$ is diffeomorphic to the total space of a disk bundle over a surface, with positive euler class. Then this implies the existence of finite-energy ASD connections on $X$ (for any metric that decays on the end to a cylindrical metric).
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## Corollary

Assume the 3-manifold $N$ is a circle bundle over a surface, with positive euler class. Then the mASD connection from the above theorem is ASD.

## Proof.

The connection $j(A)$ is ASD for all relevant $A$. (Observation by Morgan-Mrowka-Ruberman.)
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## Proof.

Glue.
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It would be nice to allow the gluing point to vary.


This would give a (partial) compactification.
Difficulty: Moving the gluing point changes the Coulomb slice.

$$
\hat{M}=\{\mathrm{mASD} \text { connections }\} \cap\{\text { Coulomb slice }\}
$$

... and the space of mASD connections is NOT gauge-invariant!
Resolution: Use the implicit function theorem again.
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(b) For every point in $G_{1} \times G_{2}$, there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{V} \subseteq G_{1} \times G_{2}$ and a smooth map
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\Phi: \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \hat{M}_{\text {reg }}\left(A_{\text {ref }}\right)
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that is a diffeomorphism onto an open subset of the codomain.
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