## MATH 310: FRIDAY MARCH 21

- (1) Do all of exercises from 5.4. These are going to be **very** impotant when we talk about quadratic reciprocity later. Here are some comments:
  - 1. We did the first two in class the last day. The third is much the same. Practice especially things like writing  $x^4 \mod 7$  when x = 6 not as  $6^4$  but as  $(-1)^4$  modulo 7.
  - **2.** Recall that k! divides the product of any k consective integers.
  - **3.** My hint on this was off the last day: Replace the terms x and h respectively in the Taylor series f(x+h) with the terms m and  $rp^s$  respectively.
  - 4. A nice extension of 3.
  - **5.** A lovely application of **4.** Note that  $343 = 7^3$ .
  - **6.** By  $x^4 + 1$  being irreducible over the integers means that  $x^4 + 1$  does not factor into linear factors. However, in mod 2  $x^4 + 1 = (x+1)^4$ . Can you look at the other modulo systems sugested here.
  - **7.** Note that  $1, 2, \ldots, p-1$  is a reduced reside system mod p. Hmmmm...
  - 8. Oh my! Look at that!
- (2) Last day we finished with Theorem 7-2, a gem that stated that if a belongs to h modulo m and of  $a^r \equiv 1 \mod m$  then  $h \mid r$ . In particular, h divides  $\phi(m)$ , as we saw with the example of 3 belonging to 5 modulo 11 and how  $5 \mid \phi(11)$ . This brings us to the new reading for today: Definition 7-2 defined an integer g to be a primitive root modulo m if g belongs to  $\phi(m)$ . Theorem 7-3 is the very reasonable statement that the first  $\phi(m)$  positive powers of such a g form a reduced residue system. Example 7-2 then shows that there are m's that have no primitive roots.

Theorem 7-4 is the tiniest bit technical to state so to convince you that it is worth understanding it, consider its corollary, 7-1 which is the rather delightful statement that if you have one primitive root modulo m then you can generate a bunch of others as powers of that primitive root, in particular, those powers that are relatively prime to  $\phi(m)$ . Furthermore, Theorem 7-5 tells us that if there is one primitive root modulo m then there must be precisely  $\phi(\phi(m))$  of them.

Do all exercises from 7-1

- **1.–5.** This is a nice collection of exercises that generalises the log of a number, but modulo m.
  - **6.** This will be a good exercise upon which to confirm all the theorems and corollaries of the section.
- (3) Lastly, read the first paragraph pf Section 7-2, where it describes the m's that have a primitive root. What are the ten smallest m's which **do not** have primitive roots?