p-Coloring Classes of Torus Knots

Anna-Lisa Breiland Layla Oesper Laura Taalman *

Abstract

We classify by elementary methods the *p*-colorability of torus knots, and prove that every *p*-colorable torus knot has exactly one nontrivial *p*-coloring class. As a consequence, we note that the two-fold branched cyclic cover of a torus knot complement has cyclic first homology group.

MR Subject Classifications: 57M27, 05C15

1 Introduction

Our first result is a theorem specifically determining the *p*-colorability of any (m, n) torus knot. It has been previously shown that a (m, m - 1) torus knot is always *p*-colorable for *p* equal to *m* or m - 1 depending on which is odd (see [6] and [13]). Another proven result is that a (2, n) torus knot is always *p*-colorable for *p* equal to *n* and a (3, n) torus knot is always 3-colorable if *n* is even [13]. A result similar to ours was also stated as a lemma without proof in [3].

Our second result shows that any *p*-colorable (m, n) torus knot has only one nontrivial *p*-coloring class. A general result investigating colorings of torus knots by finite Alexander quandles appears in [2]. Our result is a special instance of this result; however, we present a proof using only elementary techniques. *p*coloring classes have also previously been investigated in relationship to pretzel knots by [4]. An immediate corollary of this result is that any nontrivial *p*coloring of the standard braid representation of $T_{m,n}$ must use all *p* colors. Distribution of colors in *p*-colorings of knots has been previously investigated with the Kauffman-Harary Conjecture, which examines the distribution of colors in a *p*-coloring of an alternating knot with prime determinant. Asaeda, Przytcki, and Sikora prove the Harary-Kauffman Conjecture is true for pretzel knots and Montesinos knots in [1]. Another immediate corollary is that the first homology groups of certain branched cyclic covers of torus knot complements (see [5], [11]) are cyclic.

^{*}Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics, James Madison University, MSC 1911, Harrisonburg, VA 22807; taal@math.jmu.edu.

2 Notation

Given a prime p > 2 and a projection of a knot K with strands s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r , a *p*-coloring is an assignment c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_r of elements of \mathbb{Z}_p to the strands of the projection that satisfies the condition that at each crossing where s_i, s_j are the undercrossing strands and s_k is the overcrossing strand, $c_i + c_j - 2c_k = 0 \mod p$. A *p*-coloring is said to be *nontrivial* if at least two distinct "colors" in \mathbb{Z}_p are used. *p*-colorability is invariant under Reidemeister moves and is thus a knot invariant, so a knot K is *p*-colorable if its projections admit non-trivial *p*-colorings.

Equivalently, a knot K is p-colorable if there exists an onto homomorphism from the knot group $\pi_1(S^3 - K)$ of K to the dihedral group

$$D_{2p} = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = 1, b^p = 1, abab = 1 \rangle.$$

The knot group G can be expressed via the Wirtinger presentation, as follows. Given a projection of K, define loops x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r around the strands s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r , respectively, following the right hand rule. At each crossing where s_i terminates, s_j originates, and s_k is the overcrossing strand we have a relation R_j that is either of the form $x_j = x_k^{-1}x_ix_k$ or $x_j = x_kx_ix_k^{-1}$, depending on whether the sign of the crossing is positive or negative (see Section D of chapter 3 of [12]). With this notation, the Wirtinger presentation for the knot group of K is:

$$\pi_1(S^3 - K) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \mid R_1, R_2, \dots, R_r \rangle$$

It is a simple exercise to prove that an assignment of colors $c_1, c_2, \ldots c_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a proper *p*-coloring of a projection of *K* if and only if the map $\theta \colon \pi_1(S^3 - K) \to D_{2p}$ defined by $\theta(x_i) = ab^{c(s_i)}$ is an onto homomorphism (see p.122 of [8]).

Two *p*-colorings c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_r and d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r of a projection of K are said to be *equivalent*, or in the same *p*-coloring class if for all $1 \leq i, j \leq r, c_i = c_j$ if and only if $d_i = d_j$; in this case we say that the two *p*-colorings differ only by a permutation of the colors. This definition of *p*-coloring classes corresponds directly to the mod *p* rank discussed in chapter 3 of [9].

3 *p*-Colorability of Torus Knots

Let $T_{m,n}$ represent the torus knot characterized by the number of times m that it circles around the meridian of the torus and the number of times n that it circles around the longitude of the torus. $T_{m,n}$ has one component if and only if m and n are relatively prime. It is well-known that every knot is the closure of some braid (see chapter 3 of [9]). For example, the trefoil knot $T_{3,2}$ is the closure of the braid $(\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^2$ shown in Figure ??, where σ_i represents a crossing where string i - 1 crosses over string i. In general, the torus knot $T_{m,n}$ can be realized as the closure of the braid word $(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{m-2} \sigma_{m-1})^n$.

Since $T_{m,n}$ is equivalent to $T_{n,m}$, the following theorem completely characterizes the *p*-colorability of torus knots. Note that if $T_{m,n}$ has one component then *m* and *n* cannot both be even. Results similar to those in Theorem 1 were



Figure 1: The braid $(\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^2$ and its closure $T_{3,2}$.

stated without proof by Asami and Satoh in [3]. We present an elementary proof here.

Theorem 1. Suppose $T_{m,n}$ is a torus knot and p is prime.

- i) If m and n are both odd, then $T_{m,n}$ is not p-colorable.
- ii) If m is odd and n is even, then $T_{m,n}$ is p-colorable if and only if p|m.

Proof. If p is prime, then a knot K is p-colorable if and only if p divides det(K) (see chapter 3 of [9]). We will show that the determinant of $T_{m,n}$ is

 $det(T_{m,n}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m \text{ and } n \text{ are both odd} \\ m, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd and } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$

By [10] we have $\det(K) = |\Delta_K(-1)|$, where $\Delta_K(t)$ is the Alexander polynomial of K. The Alexander polynomial for $T_{m,n}$ is given by (see part C of chapter 9 of [5])

$$\Delta_{T_{m,n}}(t) = \frac{(t^{mn} - 1)(t - 1)}{(t^m - 1)(t^n - 1)}.$$

Therefore if m and n are both odd, we have $\Delta_{T_{m,n}}(-1) = \frac{(-2)(-2)}{(-2)(-2)} = 1$. If m is odd and n is even, then by L'Hôpital's rule we have $\Delta_{T_{m,n}}(-1) = \frac{(mn+1)+mn-1}{(m+n)-m+n} = m$.

4 *p*-Coloring Classes of Torus Knots

Our second theorem is a special case of a result found by Asami and Kuga in [2]. They prove that if a knot $T_{m,n}$ can be *p*-colored using a finite Alexander quandle, it has a total of p^2 trivial and non-trivial colorings. If $T_{m,n}$ cannot be colored by such a quandle, then it has only the *p* trivial colorings. It is important to note that Asami and Kuga only consider the total number of all *p*-colorings without distinguishing between equivalent colorings, while we consider equivalence classes of *p*-colorings, or *p*-coloring classes. Also note that the proof of Theorem 2 will show that every non-trivial *p*-coloring of the standard braid projection of $T_{m,n}$ must use all *p* colors.



Figure 2: The action of ϕ on the j^{th} color array of $T_{m.n}$.

Theorem 2. If p is prime and $T_{m,n}$ is p-colorable, then $T_{m,n}$ has only one nontrivial p-coloring class.

Proof. If $T_{m,n}$ is *p*-colorable, then by Theorem 1 can assume without loss of generality that we have *m* odd, *n* even, and p|m. Given a *p*-coloring of $T_{m,n}$ in the standard *m*-stand braid projection, let its *j*th color array be the element of $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^m$ whose *i*th component is the color of the *i*th strand of the braid representation of $T_{m,n}$ after *j* cycles. The map $\phi : (\mathbb{Z}_p)^m \to (\mathbb{Z}_p)^m$ defined by

$$\phi(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}) = (2c_0 - c_1, 2c_0 - c_2, \dots, 2c_0 - c_{m-1}, c_0)$$

describes the transistion from the j^{th} to the $(j+1)^{\text{st}}$ color array of α according the rules of *p*-colorability, as seen in Figure 1. Note that a *p*-coloring of $T_{m,n}$ is entirely determined by its initial color array, and that to have a proper *p*-coloring it is necessary and sufficient that ϕ^n fixes this initial color array.

Now since p divides the number m of braid strands in our projection of $T_{m,n}$ we can consider the 0th color array that consists of the colors $0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ listed in order $\frac{m}{p}$ times:

$$C_0 = (0, 1, \dots, p-2, p-1, 0, 1, \dots, p-2, p-1, \dots, p-2, p-1).$$

Under the action of ϕ , the 1st color array is clearly

$$\phi(C_0) = (p - 1, p - 2, \dots, 1, 0, p - 1, p - 2, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 1, 0),$$

and the 2^{nd} color array is

$$\phi^2(C_0) = (0, 1, \dots, p-2, p-1, 0, 1, \dots, p-2, p-1, \dots, p-2, p-1).$$

Since ϕ^2 fixes C_0 and n is even, we know that ϕ^n fixes C_0 and thus the initial color array C_0 induces a nontrivial *p*-coloring.

Note that the *p*-coloring constructed above has the property that its initial color array $c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{m-1}$ has constant variance of 1, since $c_{j+1} - c_j = 1$ for all $0 \le j \le m$ (with indices mod *m*). It can be shown by elementary, but tedious, methods that if $T_{m,n}$ is a one-component link then any *p*-coloring of its standard *m*-strand braid projection will have constant variance (not necessarily

equal to 1), and that all such *p*-colorings are equivalent to the *p*-coloring constructed above. Thankfully, the reviewer for this paper suggested a much more elegant method of proving that up to equivalence there can be no more than one nontrivial *p*-coloring of $T_{m,n}$, as follows.

Seeking a contradiction, suppose that there are two non-equivalent nontrivial *p*-colorings $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_r, d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r$ of a projection of $T_{m,n}$ with strands s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r . We will show that these colorings induce what we can think of as a $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ -coloring $(c_1, d_1), \ldots, (c_r, d_r)$ of the strands of the projection in the sense that we have an onto homomorphism from the knot group θ from $\pi_1(S^3 - K)$ to the generalized Dihedral group $\mathcal{D} = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = 1, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p, abab = 1 \rangle$.

In the notation above, and writing $b = (b_1, b_2)$, define $\theta(x_i) = a(b_1^{c_i}, b_2^{d_i})$. We will show that θ is onto by showing that a, $(b_1, 1)$, and $(1, b_2)$ are in its image. By non-equivalence there must exist some i, j such that either $c_i = c = j$ but $d_i \neq d_j$, or $d_i = d_j$ but $c_i \neq c_j$. Without loss of generality we will assume the former. With this i, j it is a simple exercise to show that $\phi(x_i x_j) = (1, b_2^{d_j - d_i})$. Since $d_j - d_i \neq 0$ and p is prime, some power of this element is $(1, b_2)$. Now since c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_r is a nontrivial p-coloring there must exist some k such that $c_i \neq c_k$, and for this i, k we have $\phi(x_i x_k) = (b_1^{c_k - c_i}, b_2^{d_k - d_i})$. The product of this element with $(1, b_2)^{d_i - d_k}$ is $(b_1^{c_k - c_i}, 1)$, and again since $c_k - c_i \neq 0$ and p is prime, some power of this is $(b_1, 1)$. We now see immediately that a is in the image of θ since $\theta(x_i)(b_1^{-c_i}, b_2^{-d_i}) = a$.

The existence of this onto map θ provides a contradiction to there being two non-equivalent *p*-colorings, as follows. It is well-known (see p.58 of [5]) that $\pi_1(S^3 - T_{m,n}) = \langle x, y \mid x^m = y^n \rangle$, and that the center *Z* of this group is generated by x^m . Since θ is onto and thus carries centers into centers, $\theta(Z)$ is contained in the center of \mathcal{D} , which is trivial since *p* is odd. Therefore $Z \in \ker \theta$, and thus the map θ factors through the group $\langle x, y \mid x^m = 1, y^m = 1 \rangle$. This induces a map $\beta \colon \langle x, y \mid x^m = 1, y^m = 1 \rangle \to \mathcal{D}$, which must be onto since θ is onto. But there can be no onto homomorphism from a free product of two cyclic groups to a group whose presentation requires at least three generators. Therefore there can be only one nontrivial *p*-coloring class for $T_{m,n}$, namely the one we constructed above.

Notice that the proof of Theorem 2 shows that any *p*-coloring of the standard minimal projection of a torus knot must use all *p* colors. In particular, this gives another proof that torus knots of the form $T_{p,2}$ for *p* an odd prime satisfy the Kauffman-Harary conjecture (6.2 in [7]); such torus knots are alternating with determinant *p*, and the least number of colors needed to nontrivially color a minimal projection of $T_{p,2}$ will be equal to the crossing number *p*.

The reviewer for this paper pointed out to the authors that another immediate consequence of our elementary result in Theorem 2 is that the first homology groups of certain q-fold branched cyclic covers of torus knot complements are cyclic. The requirement that this homology group be cyclic in the two-fold case has been suggested as a weaker hypothesis for the Kauffman-Harary conjecture (p.7 of [1]). Given a torus knot $T_{m,n}$, let $\hat{C}^q_{m,n}$ denote the q-fold branched cyclic cover of $S^3 - T_{m,n}$ (see 8.18 in [5]). **Corollary 3.** If q = 2 + kmn for some nonnegative integer k, then the homology group $H_1(\widehat{C}^q_{m,n})$ is cyclic.

Proof. By 14.8 in [5], $T_{m,n}$ is *p*-colorable for some prime *p* if and only if *p* divides $|H_1(\hat{C}_{m,n}^2)|$. For each such prime *p*, Theorem 2 shows that there is only one nontrivial *p*-coloring class, which in turn guarantees that the 2-fold branched cyclic cover of the knot complement contains only one subgroup of order *p* (see Section 3 of [11]). Since this is true for all primes *p* that divide $|H_1(\hat{C}_{m,n}^2)|$, the result follows in the q = 2 (i.e. k = 0) case. The general result now follows from the fact that the *q*-fold coverings have period *mn* (see 6.15 in [5]), i.e. $\hat{C}_{m,n}^q \cong \hat{C}_{m,n}^{q+kmn}$ for any nonnegative integer *k*.

The authors of this paper would like to thank the reviewer, who made extremely detailed comments and in addition suggested a more streamlined argument and a way to extend our original results (Corollary 3). This work was supported by NSF grant number NSF-DMS 0243845.

References

- M. Asaeda, J. Przytycki, and A. Sikora, *Kauffman-Harary Conjecture holds for Montesinos Knots*, Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications, Vol. 13, No. 4 (2004) 467-477.
- [2] S. Asami and K. Kuga, Colorings of Torus Knots and their Twist-Spins by Alexander Quandles over Finite Fields, Technical Reports of Mathematical Science, Chiba University, Vol. 20 (2004).
- [3] S. Asami and S. Satoh, An Infinite Family of Non-invertible Surfaces in 4-Space, Bull. London Math. Soc. 37, 285-296 (2005).
- [4] K. Brownell, K. O'Neil, and L. Taalman, *Counting m-coloring classes of knots and links*, Pi Mu Epsilon Journal, Vol. 12, Number 5 (2006).
- [5] G. Burde and H. Zieschang, *Knots*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 5, de Gruyter, New York (1985).
- [6] R. Butler, A. Cohen, M. Dalton, L. Louder, R. Rettberg, and A. Whitt, *Explorations into Knot Theory: Colorability*, University of Utah (2001).
- [7] F. Harary and L.H. Kauffman, Knots and Graphs I Arc Graphs and Colorings, Advances in Applied Mathematics 22, 312-337 (1999).
- [8] W.B. Raymond Lickorish, An Introduction to Knot Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York (1997).
- [9] C. Livingston, *Knot Theory*, The Mathematical Association of America, Washington DC (1993).

- [10] K. Murasugi, *Knot Theory and its Applications*, Birkchäuser, Boston (1996).
- [11] J.H. Przytycki, 3-Coloring and Other Elementary Invariants of Knots, arXiv:math/0608172v1, math.GT (2006).
- [12] D. Rolfsen, Knots and Links, Publish or Perish, Inc., Houston (1976).
- [13] Y. Yasinnik, *p-Colorability of Torus Knots*, Research Science Institute (2000).