The (Hyper-Chaotic?) Sandwheel

Anthony Tongen, **Roger Thelwell** & David Becerra-Alonso

James Madison University, Virgina, USA ETEA, Universidad de Códoba, ESP

> CHAOS2011 Agios Nikolaos

Tongen, Thelwell, Becerra (JMU)

A B F A B F CHAOS2011 Agios Nikolaos

1/16

Lorenz

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \sigma(y - x) \quad (1) \\ \dot{y} &= rx - y - xz \quad (2) \\ \dot{z} &= xy - bz \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

-2

イロン イ理 とくほと くほとう

Lorenz

with $\sigma = 10, r = 28, \& b = 8/3$



Figure: http://en.wikipedia.org

Tongen, Thelwell, Becerra (JMU)

A B A A B A CHAOS2011 Agios Nikolaos 2 / 16

Malkus Waterwheel

http://people.web.psi.ch/gassmann/waterwheel/Wwheel1.HTML

JMU version



Tongen, Thelwell, Becerra (JMU)

 < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < </td>

 CHAOS2011 Agios Nikolaos
 4

The model

Consider an arbitrary sector of the wheel $[\theta_1$, $\theta_2].$ The mass can change in three ways:

- **O** Source $Q(\theta)$
- 2 Leakage $\ell(m)$, where

$$\ell(m) = \begin{cases} K_w m & \text{ww} \\ K_s H(m) & \text{sw} \end{cases}$$

(1)

and $H(\cdot)$ is the Heaviside function.

3 Rotation

$$\omega \frac{\partial m}{\partial \theta},$$

with angular velocity ω .

The model

So

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \left[\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} - \left(Q(\theta) - \ell(m) - \omega \frac{\partial m}{\partial \theta} \right) \right] d\theta = 0,$$
 (2)

or

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = Q(\theta) - \ell(m) - \omega \frac{\partial m}{a l \theta}.$$
(3)

And the torque balance

$$I\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial t} = -\nu\omega + gr \int_0^{2\pi} m(\theta, t) \sin(\theta) d\theta, \qquad (4)$$

closes the system, with rotational inertia I, frictional coefficient ν , gravitational force g, and wheel radius r.

Model - DFT

Typically, the DFT used to reduce to

$$\dot{a}_{1} = \omega b_{1} - K_{w} a_{1}$$

$$\dot{b}_{1} = -\omega a_{1} - K_{w} b_{1} + q_{1},$$

$$\dot{\omega} = \frac{-\nu \omega + \pi g r a_{1}}{I}$$
(5)

Not so easy for sandwheel! In special case when $q_0 = K_s$, we have

$$\dot{a}_{1} = \omega b_{1}$$

$$\dot{b}_{1} = -\omega a_{1} + q_{1},$$

$$\dot{\omega} = \frac{-\nu \omega + \pi g r a_{1}}{I}$$
(6)

Tongen, Thelwell, Becerra (JMU)

Individual Mass tracking

Waterwheel

$$\frac{dm_i}{dt} = Q(\theta_i) - K_w m_i, \tag{7}$$

modeling the mass m_i in each cup, where

$$Q(\theta_i) = \begin{cases} Q, & \text{if } \cos \frac{\pi}{N} \le \cos \left(\theta + \frac{2\pi i}{N}\right) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(8)

Tongen, Thelwell, Becerra (JMU)

∃ → (∃ →

3

Individual Mass tracking

Waterwheel:

$$\frac{dm_i}{dt} = Q(\theta_i) - K_w m_i, \tag{7}$$

Sandwheel:

$$\frac{dm_i}{dt} = Q(\theta_i) - H(m_i)K_s \tag{8}$$

and torque balance (for both):

$$I\dot{\omega} = -\nu\omega + gr\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} m_i \sin(\theta_i).$$
(9)

I approaches steady state for the waterwheel, but not for the sandwheel.

Center of Mass - waterwheel

Introduce

$$y_{cm} = \frac{r}{M} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} m_i \sin(\theta_i)$$
(10)

$$z_{cm} = \frac{r}{M} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} m_i \cos(\theta_i)$$
(11)

Then, from the mass balance for the waterwheel

$$\frac{dm_i}{dt} = Q(\theta_i) - K_w m_i \tag{12}$$

we see

$$\dot{y}_{cm} = \omega z_{cm} - K_w y_{cm}, \tag{13}$$

and (for large N)

$$\dot{z}_{cm} = \frac{rq_0}{M_w} - \omega y_{cm} - K_w z_{cm}.$$
(14)

A B F A B F

Center of Mass - sandwheel

For the sandwheel, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \dot{m}_i \sin \theta_i = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} Q(\theta_i) \sin(\theta_i) - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} K_s H(m_i) \sin(\theta_i).$$
(15)

 M_s is time-dependent, so

$$\dot{y}_{cm} + \frac{\dot{M}_s}{M_s} y_{cm} = -\frac{r}{M_s} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} K_s H(m_i) \sin(\theta_i) + \omega z_{cm}.$$
 (16)

Similarly,

$$\dot{z}_{cm} + \frac{\dot{M}_s}{M_s} z_{cm} = -\frac{r}{M_s} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} K_s H(m_i) \cos(\theta_i) - \omega y_{cm} + \frac{rq_0}{M_s}.$$
 (17)

Center of Mass - sandwheel

One way to interpret this is

$$\dot{y}_{cm} = -\frac{M_s}{M_s} y_{cm} - K_s \gamma(t) y_{cm} + \omega z_{cm}$$
 and (18)

$$\dot{z}_{cm} = -\frac{\dot{M}_s}{M_s} z_{cm} - K_s \gamma(t) z_{cm} - \omega y_{cm} + \frac{rq_0}{M_s}, \qquad (19)$$

where $\gamma(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{H(m_i)}{m_i}$, the proportion of cups losing mass. recall:

$$\dot{y}_{cm} = \omega z_{cm} - K_w y_{cm},$$

$$\dot{z}_{cm} = \frac{rq_0}{M_w} - \omega y_{cm} - K_w z_{cm}$$

$$(20)$$

for the waterwheel.

Butterfly Sandwheel



Figure: Coloured on γ

Bifurcation Waterwheel



Figure: Waterwheel bifurcation

Bifurcation Sandwheel



Figure: Sandwheel bifurcation

CHAOS2011 Agios Nikolaos

Bifurcation Sandwheel



Figure: Sandwheel bifurcation

Conclusions

- Rich array of behaviour.
- Both exhibit 2 forms of periodicity and chaos.
- Quasi-periodicity on border of chaos.
- $Q > NK_s$ implies periodicity
- Heaviside seems to control chaos, driving system to quasiperiodicity and periodicity.